

Practitioner's Surname	Practitioner's First Name	Registration	Notice of Decision Date	Disciplinary Action Taken	Project Site Suburb	Summary of Relevant Grounds
Teague	Stephen	DB-U 10613	29/10/2018	Ground One: Reprimand and fine of \$2,000	Glenlyon	Ground one: Between on or around 27 July 2015 and on or around 4 July 2016 and in relation to the construction of a dwelling (building work) at Glenlyon, the practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Act in that he, as the director of the company and as the registered building practitioner responsible for the works, caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out that was not in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant building permit.
Milivojevic	Dusko	DB-U 36559	9/07/2018	Ground One: Reprimand and fine of \$2,000	Kilsyth	Ground one: Between on or about 11 June 2016 and in or about October 2017, and in relation to building work namely, the construction of a two unit development (the work), the practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by preparing a protection work notice which did not identify the correct location, time and duration of the proposed protection work for the adjoining property contrary to Section 84(2)(b) of the Building Act 1993 and by failing to carry out the required protection work.
Hume	Peter	DB-U 40599	16/10/2018	Ground 1: Penalty of \$4,000	Ringwood	Ground 1: In relation to conduct between on or about 8 December 2014 and 4 August 2015 and in relation to building work namely the construction of a two unit development (the work) in Ringwood Victoria (the site) Humecon Pty Ltd (as it was then known) A.C.N. 098 112 077 (the company), of which the practitioner was the sole director, contravened section 16(1) of the <i>Building Act 1993</i> (the Act) in that it caused, permitted, directed, counselled or procured building work to be carried out which was not in accordance with the building permit issued in relation to the work.
Harman	David	CB-U-2465 DB-L-1107	27/09/2018	Ground 1: Suspension of CB-U and DB-L registrations until 25 May 2020 or until such time as the practitioner provides evidence to the VBA he is no longer insolvent under administration (whichever is the sooner). Ground 2: Reprimand	Not applicable	Ground 1: A ground for disciplinary action was found to exist under s179(1)(o) of the <i>Building Act 1993</i> (the Act) as a ground for immediate suspension was found under section 180(a) of the Act, namely that the practitioner was insolvent under administration. Ground 2: The practitioner was found to have contravened section 172A of the <i>Building Act 1993</i> (the Act)(as it then was at the relevant time) by failing to notify the VBA without delay of a change to the prescribed information relating to character required under section 169(ca) of the Act and r.1509 of the <i>Building Regulations 2006</i> (Vic.)(as they then were at the relevant time), in that he had failed to advise the VBA that he had become insolvent under administration.
O'Loughlin	Bruce	DB-U 34436	24/07/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$4,000	Belgrave	Ground 1: The practitioner was found to have contravened the Act in that between on or about 3 March 2017 and 3 April 2017 and in relation to building work for the re-instatement of a tree damaged home at Belgrave (the site) Dak-Wal Constructions Pty Ltd A.C.N. 071 608 734 (the company), of which the practitioner was a director, contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act in that it caused, permitted, directed, counselled or procured building work to be carried out when a building permit in relation to the work had not been issued and was not in force under the Act.
Franks	Neil	DB-U 15688	18/07/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$500 Ground 2: Reprimand and fine of \$2,000 Ground 3: Reprimand and fine of \$500 Ground 4: Reprimand and fine of \$500 Ground 5: Reprimand and fine of \$2,000 Ground 6: Reprimand and fine of \$1,500 Ground 7: Reprimand and fine of \$500 Ground 8: Reprimand and fine of \$2,000 Ground 9: Reprimand and fine of \$1,500	Officer (1st site) Officer (1st site) Officer (1st site) Officer (2nd site) Officer (2nd site) Officer (2nd site) Cranbourne North (3rd site) Cranbourne North (3rd site) Cranbourne North (3rd site)	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out work in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to ensure the Company, of which he was the sole director, did not demand and/or recover and/or retain deposit moneys before an insurance policy had been issued for the building works, contrary to the requirements of the contract and Domestic Building Insurance Ministerial Order No S98 of 2003 (as amended). Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out when a building permit in respect of the work had not been issued and was not in force under the Act. Ground 3: The practitioner failed comply with regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to ensure the work was completed in a timely manner and in accordance with the time frame allowed for in the contract. Ground 4: The practitioner failed comply with regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to ensure the Company, of which he was the sole director, did not demand and/or recover and/or retain deposit moneys before an insurance policy had been issued for the building works, contrary to the requirements of the contract and Domestic Building Insurance Ministerial Order No S98 of 2003 (as amended). Ground 5: The practitioner contravened Section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out when a building permit in respect of the work had not been issued and was not in force under the Act. Ground 6: The practitioner failed comply with s136(2) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, carried out, managed or arranged the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when not covered by the required insurance. Ground 7: The practitioner failed comply with regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to ensure the Company, of which he was the sole director, did not demand and/or recover and/or retain deposit moneys before an insurance policy had been issued for the building works, contrary to the requirements of the contract and Domestic Building Insurance Ministerial Order No S98 of 2003 (as amended). Ground 8: The practitioner contravened Section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out when a building permit in respect of the work had not been issued and was not in force under the Act. Ground 9: The practitioner failed comply with s136(2) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, carried out, managed or arranged the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when not covered by the required insurance.
Macleod	Donald	BS-U 1550	12/09/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$2000 Ground 2: Reprimand and fine of \$5000	Reservoir	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993, in that he issued a building permit in circumstances where he could not have been satisfied that the building permit and the works would comply with the Building Act 1993 and the Building Regulations 2006 as the site plan approved failed to show the requirements of Regulation 302(2) of the Regulations. Ground 2: The practitioner failed to perform his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed to issue a building order to achieve compliance of the building work
Cairney	Glen	DB-L 40988	13/09/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand, fine of \$2,750 and a requirement that the practitioner undertake specified training. Ground 2: Reprimand, fine of \$1,750 and a requirement that the practitioner undertake specified training.	Mooroolbark	Ground 1: In relation to building work namely construction of a four unit development, the practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (the Regulations) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he caused the company of which he was a director to enter into a major domestic building contract which did not comply with section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (the Regulations) by failing to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he failed to declare the total cost of the work on the applications for Domestic Building Warranty Insurance and the building permit.

Northorpe	John	CB-U 4771	24/09/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$1,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and fine of \$1,000</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and fine of \$1,000</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand and fine of \$1,500</p> <p>Ground 5: Reprimand and fine of \$1,500</p>	Elsternwick	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 11(1)(a) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 by demanding and receiving under a domestic building contract that was \$20,000.00 or more, a deposit which was more than 5% of the contract price.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 in that he caused the company to enter into a major domestic building contract which did not comply with Section 31(1).</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened Section 29(1)(c) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 in that as the sole director of Macnor Pty Ltd A.C.N. 006 483 423 (the company), he caused the company to enter into a major domestic building contract when he was not registered pursuant to the Building Act.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened Section 176(2A) of the Building Act 1993 in that he carried out domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when he was not registered in the appropriate class of domestic builder.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner contravened Section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993 in that he carried out, managed or arranged the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when he was not covered by the required insurance.</p>
Cole-Sinclair	Daryl	BS-U-1354	18/09/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, requirement to complete training course(s) equivalent to a minimum of 60 hours/points of CPD Activity and the imposition of a partial suspension of the practitioner's registration in the category of Building Surveyor – Unlimited for a period of 18 months (being a suspension of his functions to accept new appointments as a relevant building surveyor and to issue building permits during that period, running concurrently with the partial suspension imposed for Grounds 5 & 6 below).</p> <p>Ground 2: Penalty of \$750</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$8,000</p> <p>Ground 4: Penalty of \$1,000</p> <p>Grounds 5 and 6 (combined): Reprimands (2) and the imposition of a partial suspension of the practitioner's registration as a Building Surveyor - Unlimited for a period of 6 months (being a suspension of the practitioner's functions to accept new appointments as a relevant building surveyor and to issue building permits for that period, running concurrently with the partial suspension imposed for Ground 1 above).</p>	2 sites: Chirnside Park and Mornington	<p>Ground 1: In relation to conduct between January 2016 and May 2017 and in relation to a site at Chirnside Park, the practitioner was found to have contravened section 179(1)(f)(ii) of the Building Act 1993(Vic.), in that he engaged in conduct in relation to his practise as a building practitioner that was negligent in a particular matter.</p> <p>Ground 2: In relation to conduct in July 2015 and in relation to a site at Mornington, the practitioner was found to have contravened r.1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.)(as they then were) in that he issued, or caused to be issued, to the relevant council a notice purporting to be a section 80 notification of appointment which was deficient in that it failed to identify the relevant building surveyor appointed.</p> <p>Ground 3: In relation to conduct in July 2015 and in relation to a site at Mornington, the practitioner was found to have contravened section 24A(3)(b) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) by issuing a building permit for domestic building works in excess of \$12,000.00 when he could not have been satisfied that a certificate of consent permitting the owners to carry out the works as owner-builder had been issued.</p> <p>Ground 4: In relation to conduct between July 2015 and February 2016 and in relation to a site at Mornington, the practitioner was found to have contravened section 30(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he failed to give to the relevant council a copy of the building permit and any other plans and other documents lodged with the application for that permit within 7 days after issuing that building permit.</p> <p>Ground 5: In relation to conduct in or about February 2016 and in relation to a site at Mornington, the practitioner was found to have engaged in unprofessional conduct in contravention of section 179(1)(b) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) by amending a building permit issued in July 2015 in or around February 2016 to reduce the estimated cost of works from over \$16,000.00 to less than \$16,000.00 without proper basis and in circumstances where he was aware the works the subject of that permit had already been carried out under an owner-builder permit when no owner-builder certificate of consent had been obtained.</p> <p>Ground 6: In relation to conduct in February 2016 and in relation a site at Mornington, the practitioner was found to have contravened section 246 of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.), in that he provided, or caused to be provided, to the relevant council a copy of the second version of the relevant building permit, which document he knew to contain false or misleading information.</p>
Gommers	Gary	BS-U 1419	17/09/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$2,000	Drouin	Ground 1: On 12 May 2014, and in relation to building work at a site in Drouin (the site), the practitioner contravened section 79(3) of the Building Act 1993 (the Act) in that, he accepted an appointment to issue a building permit for the building work when another building surveyor had already commenced carrying out functions set out in section 76 of the Act with respect to the work on the site.
Stoneman	Maurice	BD-M 1009	7/09/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$5,000	Vermont South	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Interim Regulations 2017 (the Regulations) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that as the director of the relevant company, he caused, counselled or procured the company to contravene section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 by carrying out building work, namely the demolition of the existing dwelling, swimming pool and car port, when a building permit had not been issued and was not in force.
Tomassi	Luigi	DB-U 36526	28/08/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$4,200</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$800</p>	Preston	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner, in relation to building work namely the construction of a new dwelling and garage and a carport to an existing dwelling in Preston, counselled, directed or procured building work to be carried out at the site which failed to comply with section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993, in that the building work was not carried out in accordance with the building permit approved drawings.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner, in relation to building work namely the construction of a new dwelling and garage and a carport to an existing dwelling in Preston, failed to carry out work in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (as they then were) in that he failed to ensure that the work was carried out in a proper and workmanlike manner.</p>
Graham	Stephen	DB-L 16965	5/09/2018	<p>Ground 1: Penalty \$1,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Penalty of \$1,250</p> <p>Grounds 3 and 4: Penalty of \$1,000</p> <p>Ground 5: Penalty of \$1,500</p>	Pascoe Vale	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard because he did not ensure S&L Graham Developments Pty Ltd (the company) did not demand deposit moneys to be paid by the owner before the required insurance had been issued for the retaining wall work at the site contrary to the requirements in the Domestic Building Insurance Ministerial Order No. S 98 of 2003 (as amended).</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations in that he failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard because he failed to ensure the company did not demand and receive a deposit under a domestic building contract for the underpinning work which was more than 10% of the contract price (where the contract price is less than \$20,000.00), contrary to section 11(1)(b) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (the DBC Act).</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations in that he failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard because he failed to ensure the company gave the owner readily legible contracts within five clear business days after entering into the first contract for the retaining wall work and the second contract for the underpinning work as required under section 25 of the DBC Act.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations in that he failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard because he failed to ensure the company complied with the requirements of sub-sections 31(1)(b), (d), (f), (g), (h), (i), (1), (n) to (r) of the DBC Act when entering into the first and second contracts for the retaining wall work and the underpinning work.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner contravened section 176(2A) of the Act in that in October 2014 the company carried out underpinning work under the practitioner's registration, when he was not registered in the appropriate class of registration to carry out such work.</p>

Farag	Emad	DB-U 10252 CB-U 5731	8/08/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, penalty of \$2,500 and completion of the Master Builders Association of Victoria "Preliminary Works (Domestic)" course</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand, penalty of \$4,500 and completion of the Master Builders Association of Victoria "Preliminary Works (Domestic)" course</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand, penalty of \$2,500 and completion of the Master Builders Association of Victoria "Preliminary Works (Domestic)" course</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand</p>	Four sites: Three in Dandenong and one site in Noble Park	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993 (the Act) (as then in force) in that on or about 1 October 2010 and 9 August 2012 he carried out, managed or arranged the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract at Noble Park when he and/or his company, 4S Constructions, were not covered by the required insurance.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 16(4) of the Act in that on or about 30 January 2017 he failed to ensure that a building permit had been issued and was in force for the building work.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (the Regulations) (as then in force) at the site in that he failed to: (a) take precautions before and during building work to protect the safety of the public under Regulation 604(1) of the Regulations. (b) obtain a report and consent of the relevant Council under Regulation 604(4) of the Regulations.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner engaged in unprofessional conduct in that between 18 October 2010 and 16 February 2017 he: (a) committed an offence under section 118(1) of the Act by failing to comply with an emergency order issued by the City of Greater Dandenong (b) committed an offence under section 118(1) of the Act by failing to comply with a building order issued by the City of Greater Dandenong</p>
Mavridis	Lazarus	BS-U-1588	6/08/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$4,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand, penalty of \$4,000, directed to undertake training course <i>CPCCBS6015 - Conduct and report on advanced and final inspections of commercial buildings up to three storeys</i> and directed to carry out a site inspection and issue an amended occupancy permit which contains the essential safety measures required (if appropriate) or, in the event the works are not suitable for occupation, to take any and all actions within his powers as RBS which are reasonable and necessary to ensure the life and safety of persons occupying the building the subject of the works and to bring the works into compliance with the Act, the Regulations and the BCA and render the building suitable for occupation.</p>	Dandenong	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner was found to have contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.)(the Regulations)(as they were at the relevant time of the conduct) for issuing, or causing to be issued, a certificate of final inspection when section 21 of the Act required an occupancy permit to be issued.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner was found to have contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.), then R1502(a) of the Building Interim Regulations 2017 (Vic.), then R265(a) of the Building Regulations 2018 (Vic.)(the Regulations)(as they were during the relevant conduct period) by issuing, or causing to be issued, two occupancy permits for the same building work in circumstances where he could not, or should not, have been satisfied that the building the subject of the works was suitable for occupation as required by section 44(a) of the Act, where the occupancy permits did not comply with R1005 and R1203(1) of the Regulations and as he had failed to take any action to address the deficiencies of the two occupancy permits issued despite the fire safety issues and risk to human life and safety identified.</p>
Bourbon	John	DB-U-39997	13/08/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500</p> <p>Ground 5: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000</p> <p>Ground 6: Reprimand and penalty of \$7,000</p>	Moonee Ponds	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Regulations), in that he failed to notify the relevant building surveyor without delay after the completion of a mandatory notification stage of that work, namely the footings stage.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that he failed to call for a re-inspection of the framing stage after it was initially not passed by the relevant building surveyor.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that as the director of his company he caused that company to demand payment for the fixing stage of the work when the work had not been completed to fixing stage.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Act), in that he carried out building work that was not in accordance with the Act, the building regulations and the building permit.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that he failed to comply with a written direction issued pursuant to section 37(1) of the Act.</p> <p>Ground 6: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that he failed to complete the work in a timely manner and in accordance with the time frame set out in the major domestic building contract as varied by variations and by abandoning the site.</p>
Wilson	Scott	DB-U-16971	24/08/2018	Ground 1: Suspension of practitioner's registration until 11 February 2021 <u>or</u> until the practitioner is no longer insolvent under administration and can demonstrate to the VBA that he holds eligibility to be covered by the required insurance (if sooner)	Not applicable	Ground 1: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(o) of the Building Act 1993: A ground for immediate suspension was found to exist pursuant to section 180(a) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.), namely that the practitioner was insolvent under administration.
Pirozzi	Vito	DB-U 41546	6/08/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and a requirement that the practitioner complete the nationally recognised training course 'Apply legal requirements to building and construction projects' within twelve months.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and a requirement that the practitioner complete the nationally recognised training course 'Apply legal requirements to building and construction projects' within twelve months.</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and suspension of the practitioner's registration for a period of 6 months.</p>	Ferntree Gully	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Regulations), in that he demanded and received a progress payment for frame stage when he was not entitled to do so as the the frame had not yet been approved by the relevant building surveyor.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that as the director of the company and the registered building practitioner responsible for the works, he demanded and/or received partial or full payment for five variations to the building contract which he was not entitled to in accordance with clause 27 of the building contract.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that as the director of the company responsible for the building works, he abandoned the building work at the site when the building work was non-compliant and incomplete.</p>
Yovich	Asher	DB-U 38987	16/08/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, fine of \$1,000 and direction to undertake a Legal Obligations course.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand, fine of \$2,500 and direction to undertake a Legal Obligations course.</p>	Coomoora	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 in that he entered into a major domestic building contract which did not comply with Section 31(1).</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 by carrying on building work when a building permit had not been issued and was not in force.</p>
O'Brien	Vincent	BS-U 1407	15/08/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$1,750	Mooroopna	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993, in that he issued a building permit in circumstances where he could not have been satisfied that the building permit and the works would comply with the Building Act 1993 and the Building Regulations 2006 as the site plan approved failed to show the requirements of 302(2)(c) of the Regulations and there were no details of the adjoining property provided.

Spinosa	Andrew	DB-U 13193	29/08/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$4,000 Ground 2: Reprimand and fine of \$3,500 Ground 3: Reprimand and fine of \$3,000	Ivanhoe	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Regulations), in that he issued a series of certificates of compliance which contained a series of statements which he knew or ought to have known were false and misleading. Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that he failed to carry out building work in a property and workmanlike manner. Ground 3: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 by carrying on building work that was not in accordance with the Act, Building Regulations and the permit.
Gatt	Joseph	DB-U 7800 CB-L 305425	20/07/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$6,000	Braybrook	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (the Act) in that, he carried out building work that was not carried out in accordance with the Act, the Regulations and the building permit.
Bandara	Jayantha	DB-M-27023 DB-L 27024 CB-L 37523	28/06/2018	Ground 1: (1) Reprimand; (2) Penalty of \$15,000 payable on or before 30 June 2019 (if this penalty is not paid by 30 June 2019, the practitioner's three building registrations will be suspended for a period of 10 months, from 1 July 2019); (3) The imposition of conditions on the practitioner's three registrations for a period of three years that he must not enter into a major domestic building contract other than a contract of the type referred to in Column 1 of Section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act unless, at his cost, a Private Building Surveyor, having regard to all of the circumstances, determines in writing that the proposed stages and stage payment amounts set out in the draft contract are both appropriate and required; and that he must not make a claim for a stage payment under a major domestic building contract or a commercial building contract unless he first obtains in writing, at his expense, certification from a Private Building Surveyor that the work has been completed to that stage; (4) Direction to provide the VBA with copies of all written certifications referred to herein within seven days of receiving the same; (5) Complete nationally recognised training courses - "Select and prepare a construction contract" and/or "Apply legal requirements to building and construction" and provide evidence of completion to the VBA by 30 June 2019.	4 sites: Scoresby, Tarneit, Lower Plenty and Glen Waverley	Ground 1: The practitioner engaged in unprofessional conduct under Section 179(1)(b) of the Building Act 1993 in that he demanded progress payments when he was not entitled to do so on six occasions; failed to complete his work in a timely manner and within the time frame provided in the contract and abandoned the work leaving incomplete work on four occasions; failed to complete work in a timely manner in accordance with the terms of the contract and failed to complete the work in accordance with the building permit; failed to carry out work in a proper and workmanlike manner on two occasions; failed to rectify defects as identified in the reports of a VBA Technical Advisor within the period prescribed or at all; demanded and retained a deposit under a contract for major domestic building work before insurance policies had been issued for the work, contrary to Ministerial Order No S98 of 2003 and the contract on two occasions; failed to ensure that work was carried out in accordance with the building permit which had been issued and was in force in respect of the work in that the slabs at two units were poured higher than shown on the endorsed plans; demanded and received a deposit under a verbal major domestic building contract with a contract price in excess of \$20,000.00 which was more than 5% of the contract price; entered into a major domestic building contract that was non-compliant with Section 31(1) of the DBCA on two occasions; managed or arranged the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when not covered by the required insurance on two occasions; and allowed an existing dwelling to be left exposed for approximately 12 months, which caused damage to the property.
Bambridge	Michael	DB-U-14591 CB-L-37240	Determined by VCAT on appeal: VCAT Order made on 30 August 2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500 Ground 2: Reprimand	Warragul	Ground 1: The practitioner was found to have contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) (as it was at the relevant time of the conduct) in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out when a building permit had not been issued and was not in force. Ground 2: The practitioner was found to have contravened R1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.), by failing to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he undertook unsupported and exposed excavation which posed a risk to safety and which posed a risk of undermining of neighbouring property.
Coulthard	Grant	DB-U 37772 CB-L 42970	19/07/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand, penalty of \$2,000 and requirement to complete a Master Builders of Victoria or equivalent recognised Legal Obligations course by 19 July 2019 and to provide evidence of completion to the VBA within four weeks. Ground 2: Reprimand, penalty of \$2,000 and requirement to complete a Master Builders of Victoria or equivalent recognised Legal Obligations course by 19 July 2019 and to provide evidence of completion to the VBA within four weeks.		Ground 1: The practitioner carried out domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when he was not covered by the required insurance contrary to Section 136(2) of the Act. Ground 2: The practitioner carried out building work when a building permit in respect of the work had not been issued and was not in force contrary to Section 16(1) of the Act.
Clark	Leighton	DB-U 1059	12/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000	The Basin	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (the Regulations) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he failed to carry out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner.
Coco	Frank	BS-U 1082	8/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$8,000 Ground 2: Reprimand.	Greenvale	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 in that he issued a building permit for the work when he could not have been satisfied that the work would comply with the Building Act and regulations in that the height of the south side garage boundary wall would not comply with Regulation 415(4) of the building regulations and there were no supporting specifications, engineering design or computation documents relating to the construction of the swimming pool and safety barrier contrary to Regulation 302(1)(b) &(e) of the building regulations. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that in that he issued a building notice which referred to an inspection date which was after the date of the notice and he issued an amended building which referred to an Australian Standard which did not apply to the work.
Coco	Frank	BS-U 1082	8/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000 Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000	Newport	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 in that he issued a building permit for the work when he could not have been satisfied that the work would comply with the Building Act and regulations. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Section 24(1)(b) of the Building Act 1993 in that he issued a building permit for the work when he could not have been satisfied that any consent of a reporting authority required under the Act or Regulations had been obtained.
Coco	Frank	BS-U 1082	8/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000	Newport	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Sections 24(1)(c) and 24(1)(d) of the Building Act 1993 in that he issued a building permit for the work when he could not have been satisfied that the work would comply with the Building Act and regulations as the relevant planning permit or other prescribed approval had not been obtained and he could not have been satisfied that the building permit will be consistent with that planning permit or other prescribed approval.

Coco	Frank	BS-U 1082	8/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$6,000	Maidstone	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that in that he issued an occupancy permit for the work on the site when he was not the relevant building surveyor appointed to the site.
Tran	Vinh	DB-U-20656	7/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$7,500 Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$6,000	North Sunshine	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, counselled or procured the carrying out of building work on the site when a building permit had not been issued and was not in force. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Section 176(1A)(b) of the Building Act 1993 in that he conducted mandatory inspections of the work which were to be conducted by a registered building surveyor and thereby held himself out as a registered building surveyor.
Day	Robert	DB-U 20362	31/05/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000.	Clyde North	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations, by failing to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that as the Director of Ashwood Homes Pty Ltd A.C.N. 976 (the company) he failed to ensure the Company did not demand and/or recover and/or retain deposit moneys before the required insurance had been issued for the work contrary to the requirements of the contract and Domestic Building Insurance Ministerial Order No S98 of 2003 (as amended) and Item 7 of the contract.
Abdelnour	Abdelnour	DB-L 32344	7/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand. Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000. Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000. Ground 4: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000. Ground 5: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000. Ground 6: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000. Ground 7: Reprimand. Ground 8: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000. Ground 9: Reprimand and penalty of \$4,000.	Chelsea Heights	Ground 1: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he required the site owners to back date two major domestic building contracts for the work on the site to 18 October 2010. Ground 2: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he caused the company of which he was a director to demand, receive and retain base stage payments for the work when the work had not been completed to base stage contrary to Items 1 and 23.2 of the contracts. Ground 3: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he caused the company of which you were a director to demand, receive and retain frame stage payments for the work when the work had not been completed to frame stage contrary to Items 1 and 23.2 of the contracts. Ground 4: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he caused the company of which he was a director to demand, receive and retain lockup stage payments for the work when the work had not been completed to lockup stage contrary to Items 1 and 23.2 of the contracts. Ground 5: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he caused the company of which he was a director to demand fixing stage payments for the work when the work had not been completed to fixing stage contrary to Items 1 and 23.2 of the contracts. Ground 6: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he caused the company of which you were a director to demand, receive and retain completion stage payment for Unit 1 of the work and to demand completion stage payment for Unit 2 of the work when the work had not been completed to completion stage contrary to Items 1 and 23.2 of the contracts. Ground 7: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to respond to emails and letters sent to him by the owners regarding the work on the site. Ground 8: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to complete the work in a timely manner and in accordance with the time frame specified in the contract. Ground 9: The Practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to complete the work in a proper and workmanlike manner.
Kelly	Lukas	BS-L 42775	21/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$700. Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$700. Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$700.	Foster	Ground 1: The Practitioner contravened Section 80 of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed within seven days after accepting an appointment as the relevant building with respect to the work on the site, to notify the relevant council in writing of the appointment and the building or building work in respect of which you were appointed. Ground 2: The Practitioner contravened Section 30 of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed within seven days after issuing the building permit to give to the relevant council a copy of that permit and any plans and other documents lodged with the application for the permit. Ground 3: The Practitioner contravened Regulation 314(1) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed within seven days after issuing the building permit to give to the owner a copy of the building permit and 2 copies of the plans, specifications and other documents lodged with the application with evidence of approval stamped and endorsed on them.
Kelly	Lukas	BS-L 42775	21/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$4,000.	Leongatha	Ground 1: The Practitioner contravened Section 24(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he issued a building permit for the work on the site when he could not have been satisfied that the building work would comply with the Act and the Regulations.
Kelly	Lukas	BS-L 42775	21/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$700. Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$700. Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$700.	Foster	Ground 1: The Practitioner contravened Section 80 of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed within seven days after accepting an appointment as the relevant building with respect to the work on the site, to notify the relevant council in writing of the appointment and the building or building work in respect of which you were appointed. Ground 2: The Practitioner contravened Section 30 of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed within seven days after issuing the building permit to give to the relevant council a copy of that permit and any plans and other documents lodged with the application for the permit. Ground 3: The Practitioner contravened Regulation 314(1) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed within seven days after issuing the building permit to give to the owner a copy of the building permit and 2 copies of the plans, specifications and other documents lodged with the application with evidence of approval stamped and endorsed on them.
Kelly	Lukas	BS-L 42775	21/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$700. Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$700. Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$700.	Welshpool	Ground 1: The Practitioner contravened Section 80 of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed within seven days after accepting an appointment as the relevant building with respect to the work on the site, to notify the relevant council in writing of the appointment and the building or building work in respect of which he was appointed. Ground 2: The Practitioner contravened Regulation 1012(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed within 7 days after issuing a certificate of final inspection, to give to the relevant council a copy of the certificate. Ground 3: The Practitioner contravened Regulation 314(1) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed within seven days after issuing the building permit to give to the owner a copy of the building permit and 2 copies of the plans, specifications and other documents lodged with the application with evidence of approval stamped and endorsed on them.

Kelly	Lukas	BS-L 42775	21/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$4,000. Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000.	Leongatha	Ground 1: The Practitioner contravened Sections 24(1), 24A(2)(a), 24A(3)(b) and 205H(3)(b) of the Building Act 1993 in that he issued a building permit for the work on the site when he could not have been satisfied that the building work would comply with the Act and the Regulations, the work would be carried out by a builder who was registered under Part 11 in the appropriate class of domestic builder and is covered by the required insurance, that the applicant had been issued with a certificate of consent for the work; and he failed to refuse an application for a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the contract price for the building work specified in the application was not substantially lower than the price normally payable under contracts for work of that kind. Ground 2: The Practitioner contravened Section 125(1) of the Building Act in that he issued a building notice and failed to provide a copy of such building notice to council within 7 days after issuing the notice; Section 125(2) of the Act in that he issued a cancellation of a building notice and failed to provide a copy of such building notice to council within 7 days after issuing the notice; and Section 125(1) of the Act in that he issued a building order stop work and failed to provide a copy of such building notice to council within 7 days after issuing the notice.
Dunning	Christopher	DB-U 9403	28/05/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$6,500. Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000. Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$7,000. Ground 4: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000.	Mildura	Ground 1: The Practitioner contravened section 246 of the Building Act by knowingly providing false or misleading information to a person carrying out a function under the Act or the regulations. Ground 2: The Practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he failed to ensure the company (of which he was the sole director) did not demand, receive and/or retain moneys under the contract before an insurance policy had been issued for the work, contrary to the terms of the contract and/or the requirements of Domestic Building Insurance Ministerial Order No S98 of 2003 (as amended). Ground 3: The Practitioner failed to comply with section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) by carrying out or managing or arranging the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when the company of which he was the sole director was not covered by the required insurance. Ground 4: The Practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he failed to ensure that the company of which he was the sole director complied with the terms of the contract and section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995, by only demanding a progress payment for the frame stage when the company was entitled to do so.
Govorcin	Thomas	BS-U 1270	28/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$500 Ground 2: Reprimand and Fine of \$1,500	Sunbury	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic) in that he issued an occupancy permit when the building was not suitable for occupation. Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic) in that he failed to take appropriate enforcement action.
Haydon	Neil	BS-U 1319	5/07/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$1,500 Ground 2: Reprimand Ground 3: Reprimand and fine \$500 Ground 4: Reprimand	Various	Ground 1: In relation to a series of building permits for building work at 28 sites, as the relevant building surveyor, the practitioner failed to give the relevant council a copy of those permits and the plans lodged with the applications for those permits within 7 days contrary to section 30(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic). Ground 2: As the relevant building surveyor with respect to building work at 8 sites, the practitioner failed to notify the relevant council, within 7 days of accepting appointment contrary to section 80 of the Act. Ground 3: In relation to a series of occupancy permits for building work at 11 sites, as the relevant building surveyor, the practitioner failed to give the council a copy of the occupancy permits and other documents lodged with the applications for the occupancy permits within 7 days after issuing the occupancy permits contrary to section 73(1) of the Act. Ground 4: In relation to a series of building permits for building work at 4 sites, as the relevant building surveyor, the practitioner failed within 7 days after the end of each month to forward to the VBA in a form approved by the VBA the details of permits issued by him during that month contrary to regulation 322 of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic).
Atkinson	Paul	DB-L 24864	18/06/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$2,000	Plenty	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 33(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic) in that he, as the director of the company and as the registered building practitioner responsible for the building work at the site, failed to notify the relevant building surveyor without delay after the completion of a mandatory notification stage, namely the pad footings stage.
Driller	Shane	DB-U 25440	10/05/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,700.	Natimuk	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations, in that he failed to ensure that a Company, of which he was the sole director, carried out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner and he failed to take action with respect to an emergency order.
Hibberson	Kevin	CB-U 15442	16/04/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand, penalty of \$6,000 and a condition on his registration that he must not install any type of external wall cladding without first obtaining in writing, at his expense, written confirmation from the Relevant Building Surveyor that the cladding to be installed will comply with the relevant Building Permit.	Southbank	Ground 1: The practitioner breached section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out that was not in accordance with the Act, the Regulations and the building permit.
Siciliano	Angelo	BS-U 1552	4/05/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$8,000.	Multiple	Ground 1: The practitioner engaged in conduct in relation to his practice as a building practitioner that was negligent in a particular matter in that he had failed to lodge documents with the relevant council within the required times under the Building Act and/or Regulations or at all.

Ndjeka	Onoya	BS-L 34559	29/03/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$500. Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$500. Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000.	Frankston	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 80 of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed within 7 days after accepting an appointment to notify the relevant council in writing of the appointment and the building or building work in respect of which he was appointed. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Section 30(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed within 7 days of issuing a building permit for the work on the site to give the relevant council a copy of that permit and any plans and other documents lodged with the application for the permit. Ground 3: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that in that he issued a building permit in respect of the work which was not in the form of a Form 2 of Schedule 2 pursuant to regulation 313(1) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that there was no building permit date listed on the building permit; issued a building permit in respect of the work which was not in the form of a Form 2 of Schedule 2 pursuant to regulation 313(1) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that there it did not specify the time limits for commencement and completion of the building work in the building permit; issued a certificate of final inspection in respect of the work which was not in the form of Form 7 of Schedule 2 pursuant to regulation 1006 of the Building Regulations 2006, in that the certificate of final inspection did not include the date the certificate was issued and issued a certificate of final inspection in respect of the work which was not in the form of Form 7 of Schedule 2 pursuant to regulation 1006 of the Building Regulations 2006, in that the certificate of final inspection did not include the date the final inspection was undertaken.
Raptopoulos	Paul	BS-U 1101	17/05/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500. Ground 2: Reprimand.	Eltham	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 24(1)(a) of the Act by issuing a Building Permit for the work when he could not be satisfied the work and the building permit would comply with the Act and Regulations and in particular, Regulation 419(1) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that there was insufficient information contained on the plans accompanying the building permit application which demonstrated compliance with overlooking. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that in that he issued a building permit which did not adequately describe the proposed nature of the building work to include a class 10a attached garage in addition to the class 1a dwelling structure to conform to Regulation 313 of the Building Regulations 2006.
Udayaratna	Alawattage Chaminda Sudharshana	CB-L-43598 DB-M-25094 DB-U-39119	15/02/2018	Ground 1: A suspension of the practitioner's registration CB-L-43598 until the next relevant renewal date or until discharged from bankruptcy (whichever was the sooner). Ground 2: Reprimand.	N/A	Ground 1: A ground for immediate suspension was found to exist pursuant to section 180(a) of the Act, namely that the practitioner was insolvent under administration. Ground 2: The practitioner was found to have contravened section 172A of the Act (as it was at the relevant time), in that he failed to advise the Authority of a change to the information required under section 169(2)(ca) of the Act as prescribed by r.1509(b) of the <i>Building Regulations 2006</i> (as they then were) by failing to advise the Authority of his status as insolvent under administration without delay.
Trevena	Jahan	BS-U 30656	24/05/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$600.	Natimuk	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 24(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he issued a building permit permitting work on the site when he could not have been satisfied that the building work and the building permit will comply with the Act and the building regulations namely, Regulation 302(2) (e), Regulation 304(1) (f), Regulation 610(2) and Part 3.1.2.3(c) of the BCA.
Padoin	Adrian	DB-U 13035	31/05/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$3,000. Ground 2: Reprimand and fine of \$3,000. Ground 3: Reprimand and fine of \$4,000. Ground 4: Reprimand and fine of \$3,000. Ground 5: Reprimand and fine of \$3,000. Ground 6: Reprimand, fine of \$2,000 and an order to pay Tax Invoice dated 9 June 2011 issued by the Building Practitioners Board.	Newtown	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations, in that he caused counselled or procured the demanding and receiving under a major domestic building contract of a progress payment for frame stage when the work had not been completed to frame stage and had not been approved by the RBS. Ground 2: The practitioner breached Section 37H of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed to comply with Directions to Fix Building Work issued on 30 November 2016 and 8 February 2017 within the timeframes prescribed or at all. Ground 3: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to carry out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner in carrying out defective and non-compliant work. Ground 4: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he sent threatening and abusive short message service messages to the owner of the site. Ground 5: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to complete the work in a timely manner and in accordance with the time frame set out in the major domestic building contract as varied on 15 December 2016 and abandoning the site. Ground 6: The practitioner contravened Section 179(1)(d) of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed to comply with a determination or direction of the Authority namely, a decision of the Building Practitioners Board dated 9 June 2011.
Ouyang	Renhai	DB-L 43235	6/03/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000 Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500	Vermont	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic) in that he, as the director of a company responsible for the construction of several townhouses, caused building work to be carried out that was not in accordance with the relevant building permit. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic) in that he, as the director of a company responsible for construction of several townhouses, caused the removal of a boundary fence without obtaining the consent or authorisation of the owner of the adjoining property.
Sahbegovic	Sead	DB-U 38562	24/04/2018	Ground 1: Penalty \$6,000	Niddrie	Ground 1: In or around April 2015 the practitioner engaged in unprofessional conduct under section 179(1)(b) of the Act in that he caused extensive excavation work to be carried out along the adjoining property when he did not have the consent and/or the authorisation of the owner of the adjoining property nor of VCAT.
Dikranis	John	CB-L 19245	4/04/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand, direction to complete the training units CPCCBC4003A - Select and prepare a construction contract and CPCCBC4009B - Apply legal requirements to building and construction project on or before 31 December 2018 and penalty of \$14,000	Pascoe Vale South	Ground 1: The practitioner, between in or about mid 2015 to November 2015, engaged in unprofessional conduct under section 179(1)(b) of the Act in that he: failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502 in six respects (including contraventions by the practitioner's company of sections 11(1)(a), 16(1) and 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act and that the Company didn't comply with the Ministerial Order relating to the required insurance for domestic building work and he did not ensure the Company carried out the works in a proper and workmanlike manner); contravened section 16(1) of the Act in two respects; contravened section 136(2) of the Act, contravened section 246 of the Act by providing the relevant building surveyor for the work with materials he knew contained false and misleading information; and contravened section 221D(1) of the Act by carrying out plumbing work without being licensed or registered.
Baric	Zvonko	DB-U 22561	29/03/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500	Coburg North	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he failed to carry out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner.
Moutidis	Christopher	DB-M 30644	13/04/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000	Fitzroy	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he caused, permitted, counselled or procured the carrying out of defective work at the site.

Tran	Minh	BS-U 27714	13/04/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500	Maidstone	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 322 of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to forward to the Authority within 7 days after the end of each month in a form approved by the Authority, details to his knowledge as the relevant building surveyor of any building permit that lapses during that month. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to take steps with respect to take any enforcement action with respect to the issuing of an occupancy permit by a person other than the RBS for the site and failing to identify whether or not Unit 3 of the work on the site as being illegally occupied without an occupancy permit.
Panuccio	Vince	BS-U 1566	16/04/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500 Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500	Multiple	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 205J(1)(c) & (d) of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed to forward the amounts of levy received by him to the Authority for payment into the Victorian Building Authority Fund and he failed to give to the Authority periodic returns setting out whether or not he had received any amount of levy in the return period, if any levy has been received, the amount received, the amount forwarded to the Authority; and any other prescribed information. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Section 205J(1)(c) & (d) of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed to forward the amounts of levy received by him to the Authority for payment into the Victorian Building Authority Fund and he failed to give to the Authority periodic returns setting out whether or not he had received any amount of levy in the return period, if any levy has been received, the amount received, the amount forwarded to the Authority; and any other prescribed information.
Venema	Rudolph	IN-L 38425	27/04/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$4,000	Gordon	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to identify all occurrences of non-compliance in relation to the spa and associated swimming pool safety barrier.
Di Racco	Rocco	BS-U-14813	10/04/2018	Ground 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5: Reprimand, penalty of \$12,000, directed to complete course of training 'CPCCBS6008 - Process building applications for residential buildings up to three storeys' and imposition of a condition that the practitioner is not to issue any new building permits for swimming pools and/or swimming pool barriers until that training course is successfully completed. Ground 6: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000.	Sunbury (4 sites), Greenvale and Portarlington	Grounds 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5: The practitioner contravened section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) on five occasions, in that he issued building permits for five sites relating to swimming pool/barrier building works when he could not have been satisfied that those building works would comply with the Act and the Regulations. Ground 6: The practitioner contravened R1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.), in that, as the relevant building surveyor, he failed in a timely manner to take appropriate action upon the lapsing of the building permit for the construction of a swimming pool and pool barrier to ensure any danger due to incomplete work was addressed.
Guymer	Shane	DB-U-34819 (cancelled)	8/03/2018	Registration cancelled, practitioner disqualified from being registered for a period of 3 years and penalty of \$40,000.	14 sites: Croydon (x4), Thornbury, Bundoora (x2), Mooroolbark (x2), Bulleen, Clarinda, Box Hill, Craigieburn & Beveridge	30 Grounds were found to be substantiated:- 12 contraventions of Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (as they then were) across 12 sites for failing to ensure building works progressed in a timely manner, failing to complete works within the build period specified in the relevant contracts (and/or at all) and abandoning the sites. 5 contraventions of Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (as they then were) across 5 sites for failing to carry out building works in a proper and workmanlike manner. 6 contraventions of Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (as they then were) across 5 sites for demanding and retaining progress claims when the relevant progress claims were not yet due. 1 contravention of section 33(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) for failing to call for a mandatory inspection in respect of 1 site. 1 contravention of Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (as they then were) for continuing to progress works at 1 site substantially beyond the frame stage when the RBS had not approved the mandatory framework inspection. 1 contravention of section 37H(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) for failing to comply with written directions to fix building work at 1 site. 1 contravention of section 179(1)(d) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.), for failing to comply with a determination or direction of the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT) in respect of orders made in respect of 1 site. 1 contravention of Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (as they then were) for failing, refusing and/or neglecting to return to 1 site to rectify defective works. A Ground under section 179(1)(o) of the Act, namely that a ground for immediate suspension exists pursuant to section 180(a) of the Act, in that the practitioner is and was an officer of a body corporate subject to external administration within the meaning of section 5-5 of Schedule 2 of the Corporations Act. A further Ground for disciplinary action under section 179(1)(g) of the Act, namely that, as a result of the preceding Grounds, the VBA reasonably believes the practitioner is no longer a fit and proper person to practise as a building practitioner.
Haase	Daryl	DB-U-23840	9/03/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500. Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000. Ground 3: Reprimand.	Alfredton	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that as a director of a company, he caused counselled or procured the company to enter into a major domestic building contract which did not comply with Section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that as a director of a company, he caused counselled or procured the company to contravene Section 11(1)(a) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 by demanding and receiving under a domestic building contract that was \$20,000.00 or more, a deposit which was more than 5% of the contract price. Ground 3: The practitioner contravened Section 221D(1) of the Building Act 1993 by carrying out plumbing work of a particular class when he was not licensed or registered by the VBA to carry out work of that class or type.

Neil	Peter	DB-L-31863	15/03/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand , registration cancelled and disqualified from being registered for three years</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand</p> <p>Ground 5: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 6: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 7: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 8: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 9: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 10: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 11: Reprimand</p>	Multiple	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 79(1)(f)(ii) of the Building Act 1993 in that he engaged in conduct in relation to his practice as a building practitioner that was negligent in a particular manner in that as the sole director of Melbourne House and Land Constructions Pty Ltd A.C.N. 133 077 460 (the company) which was the builder for numerous sites and as the registered building practitioner who carried out, managed or arranged the work on the sites, he failed to ensure that he was covered by the required insurance, contrary to section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 25A of the Building Act 1993 in that he failed to provide written notice to the relevant building surveyor when his engagement with a company ended.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to ensure that the Company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995, in that it demanded under a major domestic building contract to build all stages a progress payment for frame stage when the Company was not entitled to do so, as frame stage was not approved by the relevant Building Surveyor until 23 March 2015.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to ensure that the Company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995, in that it demanded under a major domestic building contract to build all stages a progress payment for base stage when the Company was not entitled to do so, as work had not commenced.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to ensure that the Company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995, in that it demanded under a major domestic building contract to build all stages a progress payment for frame stage when the Company was not entitled to do so, as the frame stage was not approved until 20 October 2015.</p> <p>Ground 6: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to ensure that the Company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995, in that it demanded under a major domestic building contract to build all stages a progress payment for frame stage when the Company was not entitled to do so, as the frame stage was not approved until 20 October 2015.</p> <p>Ground 7: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to ensure that the Company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995, in that it demanded under a major domestic building contract to build all stages a progress payment for fixing stage when the Company was not entitled to do so, as fixing stage had not commenced and therefore was not complete.</p> <p>Ground 8: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to ensure that the Company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995, in that it demanded under a major domestic building contract to build all stages a progress payment for base stage and frame stage when the Company was not entitled to do so, as neither stage was complete and frame stage was not approved until 12 October 2015.</p> <p>Ground 9: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to ensure that the Company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995, in that it demanded under a major domestic building contract to build all stages a progress payment for base stage and frame stage when the Company was not entitled to do so, as these stages were not complete.</p> <p>Ground 10: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to ensure that the Company, of which he was the sole director, carried out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner.</p> <p>Ground 11: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he failed to rectify the defects identified in an inspection report.</p>
Rocca	Francesco	DB-U-1643	27/03/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000.	Lower Plenty	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out when a building permit in respect of the work had not been issued and was not in force under the Act.
Burgermeister	Aaron	DB-U-38739	29/03/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500.</p>	Upper Ferntree Gully	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out work in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 by failing to carry out the work in a timely manner.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out work in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary with regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that you as a director of a company, he caused counselled or procured the company to demand payment for the frame stage of the work when the work had not been completed to frame stage.</p>
Burgermeister	Aaron	DB-U-38739	29/03/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and fine of \$1,000.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and fine of \$1,000.</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and fine of \$1,500.</p>	Richmond	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out work in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he caused, permitted, counselled or procured the carrying out of defective work at the site.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations by failing to rectify defects identified in an inspection.</p> <p>Ground 3: The Practitioner contravened sub-section 40(3) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) in that he permitted, counselled or procured the company to demand and receive an amount or instalment not directly related to the progress of the building work being carried out under the contract in contravention of section 40(3) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995.</p>
Hicks	Jeffrey	DB-U-1591	12/03/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000.	Oakleigh	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that in that he caused, permitted, counselled or procured the carrying out of defective work at the site.

Henshall	David	DB-U-6563	4/01/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, penalty of \$500 and imposition of condition that the practitioner must successfully complete training course 'CPCCBC4008B – Conduct on-site supervision of building and construction projects' (or equivalent where superseded) prior to renewal of his DB-U registration.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and imposition of condition that the practitioner must successfully complete two training courses: CPCCBC4003A - Select and prepare a construction contract' and 'CPCCBC4009B - Apply legal requirements to building and construction projects' (or equivalent where superseded) prior to renewal of his DB-U registration</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand, penalty of \$1,000 and imposition of condition that the practitioner must successfully complete training course CPCCBC4009B - Apply legal requirements to building and construction projects' (or equivalent where superseded) prior to renewal of his DB-U registration.</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand, penalty of \$1,000 and imposition of condition that the practitioner must successfully complete training course CPCCBC4009B - Apply legal requirements to building and construction projects' (or equivalent where superseded) prior to renewal of his DB-U registration.</p>	Ringwood North	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.)(the Regulations), in that he failed to properly manage, supervise and control the works, failed to ensure the works progressed in a timely manner and failed to complete the works within the period specified by the Contract.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that he demanded and received progress payments which were not in accordance with the contracted Method 2 schedule and/or the payment schedule prescribed under section 40 of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic).</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened section 33(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that he failed to notify the relevant building surveyor without delay after completion of a mandatory notification stage under R901 of the Regulations, namely completion of the framework.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that he failed to comply with a direction as to work issued by a person acting on behalf of the relevant building surveyor under section 37(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.).</p>
Lee	David	DB-M-41537 (cancelled)	1/02/2018	Registration cancelled, practitioner disqualified from being registered for a period of 3 years and penalty of \$15,000.	3 sites: Doncaster East, Benteleigh and Mount Waverley	<p>Grounds 1, 2, 5 and 10: The practitioner contravened section 246 of the Building Act 1993 (the Act) on four occasions, in that he knowingly provided, or caused to be provided, false or misleading information to a person carrying out a function under the Act.</p> <p>Grounds 6 and 12: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Act (applicable at the relevant time) on two occasions, in that he carried out, caused, permitted, directed or procured the carrying out of building works at two sites where a building permit had not been issued and was not in force under the Act.</p> <p>Grounds 3, 7 and 11: The practitioner contravened section 136(2) of the Act on three occasions, in that he carried out, managed and/or arranged domestic building works at three sites under major domestic building contracts when he was not covered by the required insurance.</p> <p>Grounds 4, 8 and 13: The practitioner contravened section 137 of the Act on three occasions, in that he held himself out as being covered by the required insurance in respect of domestic building works at three sites when he was not in fact covered by the required insurance.</p> <p>Grounds 9 and 21: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (the Regulations) on two occasions, in that he failed to ensure that major domestic building contracts in respect of works at two sites complied with the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) (the DBCA) as those contracts did not state the registration number of the director of the building company registered as a builder under the Act as required by section 31(1)(f)(iii) of the DBCA.</p> <p>Ground 14: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that, on one occasion, he failed to provide the owners of the subject site with a copy of the relevant certificate of insurance contrary to the terms of the contract and despite requests from the owner to do so.</p> <p>Ground 15: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that, in respect of one site, he:- i. retained the deposit and demanded, accepted and retained progress payments from the owners for the purported demolition, slab, framing and lock-up(part) stages under the contract when he and the builder company were not entitled to do so under clause 5.4.2 of the contract; and/or ii. demanded and then retained a payment purporting to be the frame stage progress claim under a major domestic building contract to which section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) (the DBCA) applied when frame stage had not been completed in accordance with section 40(1) of the DBCA.</p> <p>Ground 16: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations, in that, in respect of one site, he caused the builder company to demand a payment in excess of the maximum percentage of contract price of 15% permissible for a frame stage progress claim allowed under section 40(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) (the DBCA).</p> <p>Ground 17: The practitioner contravened section 33(1) of the Act, in that, in respect of one site, he failed to notify the relevant building surveyor without delay after the completion of a mandatory notification stage, namely completion of the pre-slab inspection stage.</p>
Milner	Paul	BS-U 1125	15/03/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1500	Canadian	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor he issued a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building work and the building permit would comply with the Building Act 1993 and the Building Regulations 2006.
Caulfield	Gary	DB-U 19194	22/01/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$7,500	Echuca	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Section 37H of the Building Act 1993 (Vic) by failing to comply with a written direction to fix building work within the period for compliance specified in the direction.
Loupatatzis	Aristoklis	BS-U 39290	15/02/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand	Bentleigh East	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 in that he issued a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building permit would comply with Regulation 410(1) of the Building Regulations 2006, in that the height of the building exceeded the relevant height specified in clause 32.09-8 of the Planning Scheme which was in force at the time the permit was issued.
Cameron	Brett	DB-U 36690	15/02/2018	<p>Ground 1: Suspension of registration DB-U 36690 pursuant to section 178(f) of the Act from 23 February 2018 until 7 May 2018 or until the practitioner is discharged from bankruptcy, whichever is sooner.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand</p>	N/A	<p>Ground 1: A ground for immediate suspension exists pursuant to section 180(a) of the Act, namely that the practitioner is insolvent under administration.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 172A of the Act by failing to notify the VBA without delay of a change to the information he had provided to the VBA under section 169(2)(ca) of the Act as prescribed by regulation 1509(b) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic), namely, whether he had ever been insolvent under administration.</p>
Donohue	Denis	BS-U 1065	27/02/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000.</p>	Venus Bay	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993, in that he issued a building permit in circumstances where he could not have been satisfied that the building permit and the works would comply with the Building Act 1993 and the Building Regulations 2006.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to perform his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard and thereby failed to comply with regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006.</p>
Balikel	Ali	DB-M 29983	22/02/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$10,500.	Brunswick	Ground 1: The practitioner failed comply with s16 of the Building Act by failing to ensure that a Building Permit in relation to the construction of 7 dwellings and garages (the work), had been issued and was in force before commencing the work on the site.

Osman	Ali	DB-U 9208	12/02/2018	<p>Ground 1: Direction to undertake training unit CPCCBC4009B on or before 30 December 2018 and penalty of \$2,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Direction to undertake training unit CPCCBC4009B on or before 30 December 2018 and penalty of \$1,500</p> <p>Ground 3: Penalty of \$2,750</p> <p>Ground 4: Direction to undertake training unit CPCCBC4009B on or before 30 December 2018 and a penalty of \$2,500</p> <p>Ground 5: Reprimand and a penalty of \$2,000</p> <p>Ground 6: Penalty of \$1,750</p>	Dallas	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he, as a director of the company who was a registered building practitioner, failed to ensure that the company complied with the contract and/or the requirements of Ministerial Order S98 of 2003 (as varied) under the Act in that on behalf of the company he demanded, received and retained moneys from the owners of the site before an insurance policy had been obtained for the work</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he, as a director of the company who was a registered building practitioner, failed to ensure that the company only demanded, recovered and retained under a major domestic building contract, a progress payment (being the frame stage) when the company was entitled to do so</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he, as a director of the company who was a registered building practitioner, failed to ensure that the company did not continue with further building work when the frame stage had not been approved by the relevant building surveyor</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he, as a director of the company who was a registered building practitioner, failed to ensure that the company only demanded, recovered and retained under a major domestic building contract, a progress payment or progress payments (being the lock up and/or fixing stages) when the company was entitled to do so</p> <p>Ground 5: The company, of which the practitioner was a director, contravened section 37H(1) of the Act in that it failed to comply with a written direction to fix building work. By virtue of the deeming provisions under the Act that contravention of the company was held to also be a contravention of the practitioner as director of the company.</p> <p>Ground 6: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he, as a director of the company who was a registered building practitioner, failed to ensure that the company carried out the work in a timely manner and within the period specified in the contract for completion.</p>
Raffoul	Feras	DB-U 33814	6/02/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand</p>	Maidstone	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner has contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (the Regulations) by failing to carry out your work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard for carrying out defective building work in relation to the construction of a double storey dwelling at the site.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner has contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (the Regulations) by failing to carry out your work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard for failing to rectify defects identified in an inspection report within the recommended timeframe.</p>
Weber	Rudy	2 V2 17304: Erector or Supervisor (temporary structures) class	15/01/2018	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$4,000</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand</p>	Noble Park	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in issuing a deficient Regulation 1507 compliance certificate which did not make reference to an inspection or certification of the seating system within the two circus tent structures.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in issuing a Regulation 1507 compliance certificate for the two circus tent structures which did not comply with the occupancy permit requirements and the approved plans to which the certificate related.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in issuing a deficient Regulation 1507 compliance certificate for two circus tent structures which did not list the occupancy permit to which the certificate related.</p>
Aridi	Emad	DB-U-28794	15/01/2018	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,500	McCrae	Ground 1: The practitioner carried out building work when a building permit in relation to the work had not been issued and was not in force contrary to section 16(1) of the Act.
Tran	Minh	BS-U 27714	19/12/2017	Ground 1: Penalty of \$3,500	Ivanhoe	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502 of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he failed to perform his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard as he issued a building permit for the construction of a unit development when he could not have been satisfied from the materials before him that protection work was not required for the work as required under section 24(1)(a) of the Act.
Oliva	Cosmo	DB-U 24288	24/11/2017	Ground 1; Reprimand and penalty of \$6,000	Camberwell	The practitioner contravened section 78(2) of the Building Act 1993 by appointing a private building surveyor to issue a permit with respect to the construction of a new dwelling, garage, swimming pool and associated safety fencing at a site in Camberwell when another private building surveyor had already commenced to carry out the function of a relevant building surveyor under the Act for the building work at the site.
Aslan	Shivan	DB-L 42567	15/09/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, penalty of \$2,500 and direction to undertake training unit "CPCCBC4003A Select and prepare a construction contract" on or before 31 December 2017</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand, penalty of \$4,000 and direction to undertake training unit "CPCCBC4003A Select and prepare a construction contract" on or before 31 December 2017</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand, penalty of \$2,500 and direction to undertake training unit "CPCCBC4003A Select and prepare a construction contract" on or before 31 December 2017</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand and penalty of \$6,000</p>	North Melbourne	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to ensure the company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) in that the Company entered into a major domestic building contract for building work which was not in writing and which did not meet the requirements of sub-sections 31(1)(a) to (s).</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to ensure the company, of which he was the sole director, complied with section 11(1)(b) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) by only demanding and receiving under a domestic building contract for the building work a deposit which did not exceed 10% of the price before starting any work under the contract.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to ensure the company, of which he was the sole director, complied with the requirements of Ministerial Order S98 of 2003 under the Building Act 1993 (Vic) in that the Company demanded and received deposit moneys before an insurance policy had been obtained for the building work.</p> <p>Ground 4: The company, of which the practitioner was the sole director, failed to comply with section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) by carrying out and/or managing and/or arranging the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when it was not covered by the required insurance. And, by reason of the deeming provisions under the Act the contravention by the company is a contravention by the practitioner.</p>
Butler	Geoffrey	BS-U-1107	4/12/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and Penalty of \$1,500	Somerton	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor he issued building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building work and the building permit would comply with the Building Act 1993 and the Building Regulations 2006 by reason of the failure of the plans to include the details set out in Regulation 302 and Regulation 303.
Zand Basiri	Kamran	BS-U-18530	2/12/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and Penalty of \$3,500</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and Penalty of \$3,500</p>	Dandenong	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 24(1)(b) of the Building Act 1993 (Act) in that he issued building permits when he could not have been satisfied that the consent of the reporting authority required under the Act or the Regulations had been obtained in accordance with Schedule 2 of the Act.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 309(3) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he issued building permits which involved the installation of fire sprinklers which did not meet the deemed-to-satisfy provisions of the BCA and he failed to provide details of the installation of the fire sprinklers to the chief officer of the Metropolitan Fire Brigade.</p>

Zheng	Rong	DB-L-29073 & DB-M-29072	27/11/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, Penalty of \$1,500 and requirement to attend a Legal Obligation course within 12 months.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand, Penalty of \$3,000 and requirement to attend a Legal Obligation course within 12 months.</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and Penalty of \$4,000.</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand, Penalty of \$4,000 and requirement to attend a Legal Obligation course within 12 months.</p> <p>Ground 5: Reprimand, Penalty of \$4,000 and requirement to attend a Legal Obligation course within 12 months.</p>	South Yarra	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he entered into a major domestic building contract contrary to the requirements of Section 31 of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner demanded and received a deposit under a domestic building contract of more than 5% of the contract price when the contract price was more than \$20,000.00 contrary to Section 11(1)(a) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner carried out domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when he was not registered in the appropriate class of domestic builder contrary to Section 176(2A) of the Building Act (Vic) 1993.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner carried out domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when he was not covered by the required insurance contrary to Section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner carried out building work when a building permit in respect of the work had not issued and was not in force contrary to Section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993.</p>
Lynn	Stephen	DB-U 9695	21/11/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, Penalty of \$3,000 and requirement to attend Administer a Construction Contract course on or before 30 June 2018</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and Penalty of \$5,000</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand, Penalty of \$2,000 and requirement to attend a Administer a Construction Contract course on or before 30 June 2018</p>	Ascot Vale	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he entered into a major domestic building contract which did not meet the requirements of Section 31 of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to comply with section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993 by carrying out, managing and arranging the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract when you were not covered by the required insurance.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner failed to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he failed to comply with the requirements of Ministerial Order S98 of 2003 (as amended) under the Building Act 1993 in that he demanded, received and retained moneys before an insurance policy had been obtained for the work.</p>
Chadwick	Glen	DB-U 6255	30/10/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, penalty of \$3,750 and conditional requirement that the practitioner complete nationally recognised training course 'CPCCBC4009B - Apply legal requirements to building and construction projects' if his registration is renewed after November 2017.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand, penalty of \$1,125 and conditional requirement that the practitioner complete nationally recognised training course 'CPCCBC4003A - Select and prepare a construction contract' if his registration is renewed after November 2017.</p> <p>Ground 3: Conditional requirement that the practitioner complete nationally recognised training course 'CPCCBC4003A - Select and prepare a construction contract' if his registration is renewed after November 2017.</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand, penalty of \$750 and conditional requirement that the practitioner complete nationally recognised training course 'CPCCBC4003A - Select and prepare a construction contract' if his registration is renewed after November 2017.</p>	Parkville	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that he carried out, managed and/or arranged the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract without the builder being covered by the required insurance.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) (DBCA) in that he entered into a major domestic building contract for the works which did not comply with the requirements of section 31(1) of the DBCA.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened section 25(a) of the DBCA in that, he failed to give the building owner for the subject site a readily legible signed copy of the major domestic building contract for the building work within 5 clear business days of having entered into that contract.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened section 11(1)(a) of the DBCA in that the practitioner received a deposit of more than 5% in respect of a domestic building contract where the contract price was more than \$20,000.</p>
Salma	Abdul-Rahman	DB-U 38279	6/10/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500</p>	Fawkner	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (as they then were), in relation to building work consisting of the construction of a new dwelling and garage (the works), the practitioner failed to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that the works contained defective and incomplete items.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened of Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (as they then were), in that he failed to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by failing to rectify the defective and incomplete works required by a VBA Inspection Report within the five week period directed and/or at all.</p>
Kappos	Jim	DB-L 29556	6/10/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and requirement to complete nationally recognised training courses 'CPCCBC4003A - Select and prepare a construction contract' and 'CPCCBC4016A - Administer a construction contract'.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and requirement to complete nationally recognised training courses 'CPCCBC4003A - Select and prepare a construction contract' and 'CPCCBC4016A - Administer a construction contract'.</p>	West Footscray	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) (DBCA), in that he entered into a major domestic building contract which did not meet the requirements of subsections (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (n), (o), (q) and/or (r) of section 31(1) of the DBCA.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 11(1)(b) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.), in that he demanded a deposit of more than 10% in respect of a domestic building contract where the contract price was less than \$20,000.00.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened section 33(2) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.), in that he entered into a major domestic building contract which allowed for the contract price to change without the required warning that the contract price was subject to change.</p>
Siciliano	Angelo	BS-U-1552	6/10/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$500</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand and penalty of \$500</p>	Williamstown North	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 80 of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.), in that he failed to notify the relevant council in writing of his appointment as the relevant building surveyor and of the building work in respect of which he was appointed within 7 days after accepting that appointment.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 24(1)(d) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he issued a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building permit would be consistent with the planning permit.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened section 30(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he failed to give to the relevant council a copy of the building permit and any other plans and other documents lodged with the application for the permit within 7 days after issuing a building permit.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened section 73(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he failed to give to the relevant council a copy of the occupancy permit and any other plans and other documents lodged with the occupancy permit within 7 days after issuing the occupancy permit.</p>

Cummings	David	IN-U 1163	6/10/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand	Lancefield	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic) in that he approved the final inspection when a pool safety barrier did not comply with the relevant Australian Standard.
Houdalakis	Dimokretos	BS-U 1180	9/10/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000 Ground 2: Penalty of \$3,000	Campbellfield	Ground1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic) in that he issued occupancy permits in circumstances where it was not appropriate to do so. Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed to take timely and appropriate action in relation to the unlawful occupation of buildings.
Maslen	Brett	DB-U 21283	23/10/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$500 Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$250 Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$500 Ground 4: Reprimand and penalty of \$500 Ground 5: Reprimand and penalty of \$500	Multiple	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he failed to carry out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he failed to rectify the defective and non-compliant work in accordance with a VBA Inspection Report within the period directed therein and/or at all. Ground 3: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he failed to carry out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner. Ground 4: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he failed to carry out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner. Ground 5: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he failed to rectify defective and non-compliant work in accordance with a VBA Inspection Report within the period directed therein and/or at all.
Miles	Trevor	BS-U 1078	14/06/2017	Ground 2: Reprimand Ground 3: Penalty of \$1,200	Gembrook	Ground 2: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 24(1)(d) of the Building Act 1993 by issuing a building permit for the construction of a new dwelling which was not consistent with the planning permit which had been obtained. Ground 3: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006.
Costabile	Achille	DB-U 37689	21/07/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$5,000 Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500	Box Hill	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (the Regulations) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he undertook defective building work. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, by failing to rectify defects in accordance with an Inspection report within the four week period directed therein and/or at all.
Bruno	Anthony	DB-U 12982	14/08/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500 Ground 2: Penalty of \$3,300	Hampton	Ground 1: The practitioner breached section 16(2) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out which was not in accordance with the approved plans and manufacturers specifications and he caused, permitted, directed or procured other building work to be carried out which was not in accordance with the building permit. Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he have failed to adequately fire seal penetrations through fire rated elements as required by BCA Clause C3.15.
Shanmugalingam	Sutharsan	DB- U 33525	22/09/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500 Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000 Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000 Ground 4: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000	Noble Park	Ground 1: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that the work is defective and fails to comply with the Building Code of Australia, the Guide to Standards and Tolerances and Australian Standards. Ground 2: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by failing to carry out recommendations contained in an Inspector's report prepared pursuant to Section 48 of the Domestic Building Contracts Act. Ground 3: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by failing to carry out recommendations contained in an Inspector's report prepared pursuant to Section 48 of the Domestic Building Contracts Act. Ground 4: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by failing to carry out recommendations contained in an Inspector's report prepared pursuant to Section 48 of the Domestic Building Contracts Act.
Platt	Leanne	BS-L 38404	8/09/2017	Ground 1: A penalty of \$750 Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,250 Ground 3: Reprimand	Morwell	Ground 1: The practitioner breached Section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 by issuing a building permit when she could not have been satisfied that the building work and the building permit would comply with the Building Act 1993 and the Building Regulations 2006. Ground 2: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by failing to take enforcement action with respect to non-compliance and failing to undertake a final inspection. Ground 3: The practitioner breached Regulation 322(b)(ii) of the Building Regulations 2006 by failing to advise the VBA within 7 days after the end of the month that a building permit had lapsed.
McDonald	Andrew	DB-U 25867 CB-L 32110	15/09/2017	Ground 1: (i) To suspend Domestic Builder Unlimited Licence Number DB-U 25867 for a period of three years from 12 January 2017 or until the practitioner is discharged from bankruptcy, whichever is sooner; and (ii) To suspend Commercial Builder Limited Licence Number CB-L 32110 for a period of three years from 12 January 2017 or until the practitioner is discharged from bankruptcy, whichever is sooner.	Not Applicable	Ground 1: The practitioner breached Section 179(1)(o) by becoming insolvent under administration which is a ground for immediate suspension pursuant to Section 180 of the Act.

Stanbrook	Gregory	DB-U 8456	14/09/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand, penalty of \$7,000.and Registration DB-U 8456 is suspended for a period of 18 months.</p>	Doreen	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he failed to complete the work in the time provided for in the contract and abandoned the site before completing the work.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that in an email he encouraged the owner to apply pressure to the relevant building surveyor to issue an occupancy permit when the site was not suitable for occupation.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he demanded payment for completion when the work had not reached completion stage.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he failed to carry out the work in a proper and workmanlike manner.</p>
Murphy	Eamonn	DB-U 23317	7/09/2017	<p>Ground 1 A penalty of \$500</p> <p>Ground 2: A penalty of \$1,750</p> <p>Ground 3: A penalty of \$2,000</p> <p>Ground 4: (i) A reprimand; and (ii) A penalty of \$3,000</p> <p>Ground 5: (i) A penalty of \$1,500</p> <p>Ground 6: (i) A reprimand (ii) Required to undertake 'CPCCBC4009B – Apply legal requirements to building and construction project' training unit; and (iii) A penalty of \$3,000</p> <p>Ground 7: A penalty of \$3,000</p>	Port Melbourne (2 sites), Alphington, South Yarra and Elwood	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 33(1) of the Act by failing to notify the relevant building surveyor without delay after completion of the mandatory notification stage of that work, namely the inspection for final certificate.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner's company (the Company) contravened section 16(1) of the Act in that it caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out associated with partial demolition of the internal rear section of the dwelling at the site when a building permit in respect of that work had not been issued and that work was not exempted by or under the Act or the Building Regulations 2006. And by reason of the operation of the deeming provision in the Act that contravention of the Company was a contravention the practitioner.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a registered building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in breach of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he carried out, caused, permitted, directed or procured defective work.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a registered building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in breach of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed to remedy defective works in a timely manner and as the director of the Company failed to direct and ensure that the Company fulfilled its obligations under an agreement with the site owner in a timely manner.</p> <p>Ground 5: The Company failed to comply with section 16(1) of the Act in that building work was not carried out in accordance with the relevant building permits. And by reason of the operation of the deeming provisions in the Act that contravention of the Company was a contravention by the practitioner.</p> <p>Ground 6: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard and therefore failed to comply with regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he, on behalf of the Company, made a misleading statement that sub-contractors had been paid for their works which had been completed at the site.</p> <p>Ground 7: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard and therefore failed to comply with regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he (i) failed to ensure the Company carried out the building work in accordance with the engineer's specifications and structural drawings which were incorporated in the building permit; and (ii) on behalf of the Company, failed to ensure practical completion for the building work was reached within a reasonable period of the date stipulated in the contract.</p>
Harrison	Marc	DB-U 17331	19/09/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and required to undertake 'CPCCBC4016A - Administer a construction contract'.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,000</p>	Ivanhoe	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.), in that as the sole director of the company who entered into a major domestic building contract he failed to administer the terms and conditions of the contract relating to the completion of the building work at the site.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.), in that as the sole director of the company who entered into a major domestic building contract he repeatedly failed to respond to communications from the site owner in the form of telephone calls, emails and text messages relating to incomplete and/or defective buildings works at the site.</p>
Jager	Tjeerd	DB-U-10122	4/08/2017	Ground 1: Required to undertake training course 'Apply building codes and standards to construction process for low rise building projects'	Chadstone	Ground 1: The practitioner was found to have contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) (the Regulations) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that he constructed a balustrade barrier at the site which failed to comply with P2.5.2 of the BCA 2011 (which, by virtue of Regulation 109 forms part of the Regulations) as that barrier was not of the requisite strength and rigidity to withstand the foreseeable impact of people and the static pressure of people pressing against it.
Basile	Raymond	EC - 25453	31/08/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand	Doreen	Ground 1: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, by incorrectly advising the RBS of the site that the brick work was non-load bearing, and structurally sound, in respect of brick work that was load bearing and non-compliant and the practitioner certified that the brick work was non-load bearing, and structurally sound, in respect of brick work which was load bearing and which was non-compliant.
Drakopoulos	Kosta	DB-U 26215	25/08/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand</p>	Hawthorn	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he undertook defective work.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by failing to rectify defects in accordance with a VBA Inspection Report within the four week period recommended therein and/or at all.</p>
Plotnik	David	DB-U 4006	1/09/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$500</p>	Wantirna South	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he undertook defective work.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by failing to rectify defects in accordance with a VBA Inspection Report within the six week period recommended therein and/or at all.</p>
Plotnik	David	DB-U 4006	1/09/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000</p> <p>Ground Two: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000</p>	Wantirna South	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he undertook defective work. (at a different unit to that of the above)</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by failing to rectify defects in accordance with a VBA Inspection Report within the three week period recommended therein and/or at all. (at a different unit to that of the above)</p>
Coco	Frank	BS-U 1082	23/06/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$6,000 and a requirement to undertake 6 CPD within 12 months.	Greenvale	Ground 1: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic) in that he failed to take enforcement action in timely manner.

Lewis	Raymond	BS-U 13866	17/07/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$11,000 and imposed condition on registration not to issue any new building permits after 30/06/2017.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$11,000 and imposed condition on registration not to issue any new building permits after 30/06/2017.</p>	Newham	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he issued a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building work and the building permit would comply with the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) as the drawings which accompanied the application did not demonstrate compliance with the requirements of Regulation 302</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he approved the final inspection when the works were not compliant with the Regulations and were defective.</p>
Malenic	Boris	DB-U 23854	3/07/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$500</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,000</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,000</p>	Malvern	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 317 of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that he did not take all reasonable steps to ensure that one set of the approved plans relating to the relevant building permits were available for inspection at the site while building work for which the permits had issued was in progress.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that he carried out or procured, directed or caused to be carried out, building work when that construction was not exempted by or under the Act nor the regulations.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that he carried out, procured, directed or caused to be carried out, building work which was not in accordance with the relevant building permit.</p>
Elmaaraoui	Youssef	DB-L 1672	15/06/2017	<p>Ground 1: Penalty of \$1,000 and required to undertake Introduction to Low Rise Contract Administration Course</p> <p>Ground 2: 12 month suspension of registration</p> <p>Ground 3: 12 month suspension of registration</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand</p> <p>Ground 5: Penalty of \$3,000</p> <p>Ground 6: Penalty of \$3,000</p>	Port Melbourne	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act by entering into a major domestic building contract which did not meet the requirements of Section 31(1) and Reg12(a) and (b) of the Domestic Building Contract Regulations.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 176(2A) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) by carrying out domestic building work when not registered under Part 11 of the Act in the appropriate class of domestic builder to do so.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 136(2) of the Building Act by engaging in domestic building work under a major domestic building contract without the required insurance.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 38(5) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act by giving effect to owner requested variations under a major domestic building contract without obtaining a signed variation request from the owner.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) by not undertaking the building works in accordance with the approved plans, by abandoning the site and failing to rectify defective and incomplete works.</p> <p>Ground 6: The practitioner breached section 176(1A), subsection (b) by holding himself out as being registered under the Act as a commercial builder when he did not hold current registration as a commercial builder.</p>
Mifsud	George	DB-U 19384	23/06/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$6,000	Curlewis	Ground 1: The practitioner breached regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he permitted the use of his building registration for the construction of a dwelling.
Spencer	Toby	BS-U 40986	23/06/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,600</p> <p>Ground 2: Penalty of \$1,600</p> <p>Ground 3: Penalty of \$600</p> <p>Ground 4: Penalty of \$600</p> <p>Ground 5: Penalty of \$800</p> <p>Ground 6: Penalty of \$800</p>	Dandenong South	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner breached section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act by issuing a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building work would comply with the Act and the Regulations.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner breached section 24(1)(c) of the Building Act 1993 issuing a building permit when he could have been satisfied that any relevant planning permit or other prescribed approval has been obtained.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner breached section 30(1) of the Building Act by failing to give the council a copy of the building permit and the architectural drawings within 7 days of issuing the building permit.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner breached section 80 of the Building Act by failing to notify the relevant council in writing of the appointment and the building or building work in respect of which he was appointed within 7 days.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner breached section 24A(1) of the Building Act by issuing a building permit for building work that was not domestic building work when he could not have been satisfied that each practitioner engaged in the building work was registered under Part 11.</p> <p>Ground 6: The practitioner breached Regulation 1502(a) of the Regulations by issuing a building permit which failed to comply with Regulations 313(3)(a), 315(1)(a), 315(1)(b).</p>
Spencer	Toby	BS-U 40986	23/06/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,800	Dandenong South	Ground 1: The practitioner breached section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 (Act) by issuing a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building work would comply with the Act and Regulations 2006.
Ammache	Nidal	DB-U 18464	12/04/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$6,500	Burwood	Ground 1: The practitioner breached regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he undertook, caused, permitted, counselled or procured the carrying out of defective and deficient work involving fire safety measures.
Ammache	Nidal	DB-U 18464	12/04/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$5,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,250</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,250</p>	Ashwood	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he caused, permitted, counselled or procured works to be carried out at Unit 1 which (i) did not comply with the Building Code of Australia (BCA) and (ii) were defective.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he caused, permitted, counselled or procured works to be carried out at Unit 2 which (i) did not comply with the BCA and (ii) were defective.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations in that he caused, permitted, counselled or procured works to be carried out at Unit 3 which (i) did not comply with the BCA and (ii) were defective.</p>
McCorkell	Brian	BD-L 1086	23/06/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$6,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$7,500</p>	Rye and Mount Martha	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he carried out, caused, permitted or procured the demolition of an existing dwelling in Rye when a building permit had not been issued and was not in force with respect to that work.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he carried out, caused, permitted or procured the demolition of an existing dwelling in Mount Martha when a building permit had not been issued and was not in force with respect to that work.</p>
Hutchinson	John	CB-U 18197 DB-U 18200	29/06/2017	Ground 1: Penalty of \$14,761	Melbourne	Ground 1: The Company (of which the practitioner was one of its directors) contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that it caused, permitted, directed or procured building work which was not in accordance with the relevant building permit insofar as the installation or construction of a proprietary balustrade system was not in accordance with the manufacturer's specification and recommendation as stipulated in the relevant building permit. And, by reason of the operation of section 179B of the Act or alternatively by operation of section 179A of the Act, that contravention of the Company was a contravention by the practitioner.

Strahan	David	DB-M 37115	5/06/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$800</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$600</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$600</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand and penalty of \$1,500</p> <p>Ground 5: Reprimand.</p>	Elwood	<p>Ground 1: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act The practitioner was found to have contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he demanded, or caused to be demanded, payment for frame stage prior to the completion of frame stage having been reached.</p> <p>Ground 2: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act The practitioner was found to have contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he demanded, or caused to be demanded, payment for lock-up stage prior to the completion of lock-up stage having been reached.</p> <p>Ground 3: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act The practitioner was found to have contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he demanded, or caused to be demanded, payment for fixing stage prior to the completion of fixing stage having been reached.</p> <p>Ground 4: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act The practitioner was found to have contravened section 136(2) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) by carrying out, managing and/or arranging the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract without the builder being covered by the required insurance as the contract price in the certificate of insurance was lower than the contract price stated in the contract.</p> <p>Ground 5 : Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act The practitioner was found to have contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic), in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out which was not in accordance with the building permit issued, namely that in contravention of a condition of the building permit, the swimming pool was filled with water for one day prior to a final inspection certificate being issued.</p>
Kemp	Guy	DB-U 3434	15/05/2017	<p>Ground 1: Fine of \$4,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Fine of \$4,000</p>	Mornington	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner breached section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out associated with the construction of alterations and additions to an existing dwelling (the work), when a building permit in respect of the work had not been issued and was not in force.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to comply with section 221D(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that as the relevant building practitioner for the construction of alterations and additions to an existing dwelling at Victoria, he carried out plumbing work when he was not licensed or registered by the Authority to carry out work of that class or type.</p>
Pisotek	Damian	BS-U 16734	5/05/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$4,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$2,500</p>	Ringwood North	<p>Ground 1: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act: The practitioner was found to have contravened section 24(1)(b) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he issued a building permit for works on land designated as effected by uncontrolled over land drainage when he could not have been satisfied that the consent of the reporting authority had been obtained in accordance with Regulation 806(1) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) and Clauses 4(1) and 7(1) of Schedule 2 of the Act.</p> <p>Ground 2: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act: The practitioner was found to have contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard, in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he issued a building permit with a specified cost for the works when the cost of the work was substantially higher than the amount specified in the application for building permit.</p>
Phillips	Scott	DB-U 29206	27/04/2017	<p>Ground 1: Fine of \$4,000</p> <p>Ground 2: Fine of \$1,000</p>	Gembrook	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2001 (Vic) in that he carried out building work that was defective.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2001 (Vic) in that he demanded final payment under a major domestic building contract, when the work carried out under the contract had not been completed</p>
Gruescu	Sava	DB-U 21379	21/04/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and suspended penalty of \$7000	North Sunshine	Ground 1: The practitioner was found to have contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic) (the Act), in that he carried out, caused, permitted, directed and procured building work to be carried out at the Site, namely the construction of a new two storey dwelling and garage (the building work), when a building permit in respect of the building work had not been issued and was not in force and that building work was not exempt by or under the Act or the regulations.
Singh	Narinder	BS-U-25542	19/04/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand	Eltham	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with Sections 24(1)(b) and 24(1)(d) of the Building Act 1993 and in that he issued a Building Permit when he could not have been satisfied that the consent of the relevant Council, as required by Regulation 801 of the Building Regulations 2006 had been obtained and he issued a Permit in circumstances where he could not have been satisfied that the Building Permit was consistent with Amended Planning Permit No. 196/2014/05P.
Singh	Narinder	BS-U-25542	19/04/2017	Ground 1: Fined \$5,000	Ivanhoe	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to perform work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in so far that he could not have been satisfied that appropriate measures would be taken to ensure the protection of the adjoining property during the excavation of the site and construction of the retaining wall.
Singh	Narinder	BS-U-25542	19/04/2017	Ground 1: Fined \$2,500	South Yarra	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 (Act) in that he issued a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building work would comply with the Act and Regulations 2006.
Edmonds	Brendan	DB-U 19685	7/04/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand, penalty of \$3,000 and ordered to undertake nationally recognised course CPCCBC40098.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand, registration suspended for two months and ordered to undertake nationally recognised course CPCCBC40098.</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand, penalty of \$2,000 and ordered to undertake nationally recognised course CPCCBC40098.</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand, registration suspended for two months and ordered to undertake nationally recognised course CPCCBC40098.</p> <p>Ground 5: Reprimand, suspended for two months and ordered to undertake nationally recognised course CPCCBC40098.</p>	Brighton East	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened sub-section 31(1) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) in that he entered into a major domestic building contract with the owner of the Site when the contract did not meet the requirements of sub-sections 31(1)(b), (c), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (l), (n), (o), (q), (r) and (s).</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened sub-section 136(2) of the Act in that he managed or arranged the carrying out of domestic building work under a major domestic building contract with the owner of the Site when he was not covered by the required insurance.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) in that in relation to an insurable domestic building contract for building work to be carried out at the Site, the practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard as he demanded and received deposit moneys before an insurance policy had been issued for the building work, contrary to the requirements of Ministerial Order No S98 of 2003.</p> <p>Ground 4: The Practitioner contravened sub-section 40(3) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) in that he demanded and received under a major domestic building contract which was not of a type listed in column 1 of the Table in sub-section 40(1) of the Act, an amount or instalment which was not directly related to the progress of the building work being carried out under that contract.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner contravened sub-section 40(3) of the Domestic Building Contracts Act 1995 (Vic.) in that he demanded and received under a major domestic building contract which was not of a type listed in column 1 of the Table in sub-section 40(1) of the Act, an amount or instalment which was not directly related to the progress of the building work being carried out under that contract.</p>

Eyers	Peter	BS-U 14435	4/04/2017	<p>Ground 1: (i) A fine of \$4,500 (ii) The following condition is to be imposed on Building Surveyor Unlimited Licence Registration number BS-U 14435:- "The practitioner may not issue any new Building Permits which involve buildings which are attached unless and until he obtains a Graduate Certificate in Performance Based Building and Fire Codes from Victoria University of Technology or a qualification that the VBA considers is equivalent and provides evidence of the same to the VBA".</p> <p>Ground 2: (i) A fine of \$6,000 (ii) The practitioner is to provide the VBA with updated inspection checklists/processes for all different class type buildings and, in particular, domestic properties that share a wall such as townhouses or apartments.</p> <p>Ground 3: Fine of \$5,000</p> <p>Ground 4: Fine of \$10,000</p>	Diamond Creek	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor he issued a series of 18 staged building permits (the permits) for the construction of attached townhouses when he could not have been satisfied that the building work and the permits will comply with the Building Act 1993 and the Building Regulations 2006 in that they failed to include enough details to determine how the fire separation rating between the units was going to be achieved.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner was negligent in a particular manner in that he failed to ascertain as envisaged by Regulation 901 whether or not the fire separation system used by the builder was compliant with the requirements of the manufacturer, the permit or BCA 3.7.1.8 in circumstances where he was under a duty to do so as the site involved multiple attached townhouses, where fire separation compliance and personal safety were paramount, and the plans contained insufficient provision as to how a fire rating of 60/60/60 should be achieved.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006, in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor for the construction of new attached townhouses he failed to take timely and appropriate action in regards to non-compliant firewalls between the townhouses.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard contrary to regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006, in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor for the construction of new attached townhouses he failed to comply with a Section 205M direction from the Victorian Building Authority.</p>
Lucic	Vinko	DB-U 7571	28/03/2017	Ground 1: Fine of \$500	Caulfield	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that his work was defective and non-compliant.
Basic	Hall	DB-U 4956	28/03/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand, direction to complete nationally recognised training course "CPCBC4009B" and penalty of \$3000.	Keysborough	Ground 1: The practitioner was found to have contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (as it then was), in that he caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out involving the construction of a carport, when a building permit in respect of the work had not been issued and was not in force under the Act.
Wright	Craig	DB-L 13874	17/03/2017	<p>Ground 1: Registration suspended in the classes of Domestic Builder – limited until midnight on 16 March 2020 or until 12.01 a.m. on the first date the practitioner is no longer insolvent under administration, whichever is sooner.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand</p>	N/A	<p>Ground 1: On or about 12 January 2017, the Practitioner became insolvent under administration which is a ground for immediate suspension pursuant to Section 180 of the Act.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 172A of the Act by failing to notify the VBA without delay of a change to the information he had provided to the VBA under section 169(2)(ca) of the Act as prescribed by regulation 1509(b) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic), namely, whether he has ever been insolvent under administration.</p>
Siciliano	Angelo	BS-U 1552	10/03/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$500.</p> <p>Ground 3: Reprimand and penalty of \$250.</p> <p>Ground 4: Reprimand.</p> <p>Ground 5: Reprimand and penalty of \$250.</p>	Attwood	<p>Ground 1: The practitioner contravened section 80 of the Act by failing, within seven days after accepting his appointment as the relevant building surveyor under Part 6 of the Act, to notify (or cause the Company to notify) the Council in writing of the appointment and the building work in respect of which he was appointed.</p> <p>Ground 2: The practitioner contravened section 30(1) of the Act by failing, within seven days after issuing the Stage 1 Building Permit, to give (or cause the Company of which he was the director to give) the Council a copy of that permit together with the plans and other documents lodged with the First Building Permit Application.</p> <p>Ground 3: The practitioner contravened section 30(1) of the Act by failing, within seven days after issuing the Stage 2 Building Permit, to give (or cause the Company to give) the Council a copy of that permit together with the plans and other documents lodged with Second Building Permit Application.</p> <p>Ground 4: The practitioner contravened Regulation 2.18 of the Building Regulations 1994 (Vic.) by failing, within seven days after the end of the relevant month to forward (or cause the Company to forward) to the VBA (then known as the Building Commission) in a form signed and completed to the effect of Form 5 of the Building Regulations 1994 (Vic.) details, to his knowledge, of the Stage 2 Building Permit which had lapsed during the preceding month.</p> <p>Ground 5: The practitioner contravened section 125(1) of the Act as it was then in force in that he failed to give, or failed to cause the Company to give, Council a copy of the First Building Notice within seven days after making the First Building Notice.</p>
Kanaiya	Ratna	DB-U-24313 DP-AD-18366	6/03/2017	Ground 1: Registration in the classes of Domestic Builder – Unlimited and Draftsperson – Building Design (Architectural) suspended until 25 November 2019 or until the first date the practitioner is no longer insolvent under administration (whichever is sooner).	Not applicable	Ground 1: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(o) of the Building Act 1993: A ground for immediate suspension existed pursuant to section 180(a) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.), namely that the practitioner was insolvent under administration.
Milner	Paul	BS-U 1125	2/03/2017	<p>Ground 1: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,800</p> <p>Ground 2: Reprimand and penalty of \$3,100</p>	Kew	<p>Ground 1: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act 1993: The practitioner was found to have contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) by failing to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he caused, permitted, counselled or procured a building permit to be issued as an owner-builder permit where the Application for Building Permit was not an owner-builder application and did not nominate that person.</p> <p>Ground 2: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act 1993: The practitioner was found to have contravened section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that, as the appointed relevant building surveyor, he issued a building permit for alterations to an existing building when he could not have been satisfied that the building work and the building permit would comply with the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.) as the engineering drawings and computations which accompanied the application did not demonstrate compliance with the requirements of Regulations 302(1)(a), 302(1)(b), 302(1)(d) and 303 of the Building Regulations 2006 (Vic.).</p>
Jones	James	BD-L 23766	21/02/2017	Ground 1: Fine of \$2,500	Malvern East	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) in that he carried out building work which was not in accordance with the building permit by leaving an awning unsupported and/or in such a condition that it may collapse due to wind or vibration or left it in a dangerous condition.
Aquino	Peter	DB-U 25651	17/02/2017	Ground 1: Fine of \$3,000	Koo Wee Rup	Ground 1: On or about 20 February 2016, the practitioner breached section 246 of the Building Act 1993 (Vic.) by knowingly providing false and/or misleading information to a person carrying out a function under the Act.

Sellers	Cain	IN-L 44005	13/02/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and disqualification from registration in the category of Building Inspector (Limited) for a period of 12 months Ground 2: Reprimand	Dromana	Ground 1: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(b) of the Building Act 1993: The practitioner was found to have engaged in unprofessional conduct contrary to Section 179(1)(b) of the Building Act 1993 in that in relation to a mandatory inspection of building work carried out at a site in Dromana, he physically assaulted a fellow registered building practitioner. Ground 2: Disciplinary Action under Section 179(1)(a)(i) of the Building Act 1993: The practitioner was found to have knowingly made false and misleading statements to a person carrying out a function under the Act and/or knowingly provided false or misleading information to a person carrying out a function under the Act contrary to Section 246 of the Building Act 1993.
Wellington	Craig	DB-U 4844	9/02/2017	Ground 1: Fined \$2,500 Ground 2: Fined \$2,500 Ground 3: Reprimand	Moonee Ponds	Ground 1: The practitioner caused, permitted, directed or procured the carrying out of building work namely slab installation and reinforcement when a building permit in relation to the building work had not been issued and was not in force contrary to Section 16(1) of the Act. Ground 2: The practitioner caused, permitted, directed or procured the carrying out of the building work namely, slab installation and reinforcement and at the time, the practitioner was not covered by the required insurance in contravention of section 136(2) of the Act. Ground 3: The practitioner failed to perform his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard in that he knowingly caused, permitted, directed or procured an engineer to carry out inspections of the building work at the site without the relevant building surveyor's knowledge or approval in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006.
Harris	Wade	DB-U 43308	17/01/2017	Ground 1: Reprimand and directed to undertake nationally recognised training course CPCBC4009B - "Apply legal requirements to building and construction" Ground 2: Reprimand	Templestowe	Ground 1: The practitioner was found to have contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 (as it then was at the relevant time), in that he caused, permitted, counselled or procured the carrying out of building work, namely site excavations, where a building permit had not been issued and was not in force under the Act. Ground 2: The practitioner was found to have contravened Regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 (as they then were), in that he failed to carry out his work as a building practitioner in a competent manner and to a professional standard by causing, permitting, counselling or procuring the carrying out of excavation works which posed a risk to life and safety, undermined the integrity of adjoining property and which were not carried out in accordance with the engineer's drawings, the agreed protection work and/or acceptable construction practice.
Cassar	Paul	BS-U 22903	30/12/2016	Ground 1: Fine of \$5,000 Ground 2: Fine of \$2,000 Ground 3: Fine of \$1,000	Greenvale	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 24(1)(a) of the Building Act 1993 in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor he issued a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building permit and building works would comply with Act and the Building Regulations 2006. Ground 2: The Practitioner failed to comply with Section 24A(3)(b) of the Building Act 1993 in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor he issued a building permit being work that was not to be carried out under a major domestic building contract and the cost of the work was more than \$12,000.00 when he could not have been satisfied that the applicant had been issued with a certificate of consent for the work. Ground 3: The practitioner failed to comply with Section 30(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor he issued a building permit and failed within 7 days after issuing a building permit to give the relevant council a copy of that permit and any plans and other documents lodged with the application for a permit.
Boyle	Matthew	DB-U 27321	20/12/2016	Ground 1: Reprimand, ordered to undertake nationally recognised course Legal Obligations by 30 June 2017 and suspended for three months	Castlemaine	Ground 1: The Company (of which the practitioner was the sole director) contravened section 16(1) of the Building Act 1993 in that it caused, permitted, directed or procured building work to be carried out at the Site, namely the construction of stump holes and pad footings associated with the re-erection of a non-habitable studio, when a building permit in respect of the work had not been issued and was not in force and that work was not exempted by or under the Act or regulations. By reason of the deeming provision under the Building Act 1993 the contravention of the Company was a contravention by the practitioner.
Sorgiovanni	Alex	EC 22626	15/12/2016	Ground 1: Fined \$1,500 Ground 2: Fined \$1,500 Ground 3: Reprimand Ground 4: Reprimand Ground 5: Fined \$1,500 Ground 6: Fined \$1,500	Caulfield	Ground 1: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed to provide complete structural computations for the building work. Ground 2: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he provided several sets of structural drawings which were deficient and/or erroneous. Ground 3: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he issued a Regulation 1507 Certificate of Compliance – Inspection which was incomplete. Ground 4: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed to provide a Certificate of Compliance – Design for your amended structural drawings. Ground 5: The practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he failed to consult the owners in relation to the basement being a 'wet basement'. Ground 6: The [practitioner failed to carry out his work in a competent manner and to a professional standard in contravention of regulation 1502(a) of the Building Regulations 2006 in that he provided false or incorrect information.
Butler	Geoffrey	BS-U 1107	9/12/2016	Ground 1: Fine of \$7,000 Ground 2: Fine of \$1,500	Eltham	Ground 1: The practitioner issued a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that the building work and the building permit would comply with the Building Act 1993 and the Building Regulations 2006 by reason of the failure of the plans to include the details set out in Regulations 302(1)(a)-(c), 302(2)(d)-(h) and Regulation 303. Ground 2: The Practitioner failed to comply with Section 24(1)(c) of the Building Act 1993 in that as the appointed relevant building surveyor he issued a building permit when he could not have been satisfied that any relevant planning permit had been obtained.